

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/240417553>

Determination of hydroxyl number in aliphatic polyesters and polyethers using a colorimetric method

Article in *Polymer Testing* · September 2008

DOI: 10.1016/j.polymertesting.2008.05.007

CITATIONS

7

READS

1,718

5 authors, including:



Josiane Padilha

State University of Ponta Grossa

56 PUBLICATIONS 394 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



Paulo Vitor Farago

State University of Ponta Grossa

212 PUBLICATIONS 1,566 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



Simone A Silva

6 PUBLICATIONS 67 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



Sônia Faria Zawadzki

Universidade Federal do Paraná

74 PUBLICATIONS 729 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)

Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:



Molecular Modelling applied to new materials development [View project](#)



Low density polyethylene nanocomposites containing colored layered double hydroxide intercalated with anionic surfactant [View project](#)



ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Polymer Testing

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/polytestPOLYMER
TESTING

ROGER BROWN

Short Communication: Analysis Method

Determination of hydroxyl number in aliphatic polyesters and polyethers using a colorimetric method

J. Padilha de Paula^{a,*}, P.V. Farago^a, P.B. Binhara^b, S.A. Silva^b, S.F. Zawadzki^b^a Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences, State University of Ponta Grossa, Av. Carlos Cavalcanti, 4748, Ponta Grossa, Paraná 84030-900, Brazil^b Department of Chemistry, Federal University of Paraná, Centro Politécnico Jardim das Américas, Curitiba, Paraná 81531-990, Brazil

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 21 February 2008

Accepted 14 May 2008

Keywords:

Colorimetric method

Hydroxyl number

Polyesters

Polyethers

Polyols

ABSTRACT

Hydroxyl number quantification is an important tool used in polymer science and practice. However, the standard method recommended for polyols, e.g. polyesters and polyethers, uses noxious chemicals that occasionally can cause hydrolysis of the polymeric material. Therefore, further procedures have been investigated as alternative techniques that can be useful in the polymer laboratory as routine. The aim of this paper was to develop and validate a sensitive colorimetric assay for determination of hydroxyl number in aliphatic polyesters and polyethers. This study was carried out for all validation parameters established by the international guidelines. Validation results on linearity, specificity, accuracy and precision were effectively performed. The reproducibility presented a relative standard deviation of 0.81. The proposed method is a simple, low cost and easy handling approach for the quantitative determination of hydroxyl number in aliphatic polyesters and polyethers.

© 2008 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The determination of hydroxyl number is a fundamental approach for polymer characterization. It is particularly used in quality control measurements for manufacturers and users of polyols, and as a strategy for the chemical monitoring of polyesterification reaction between dicarboxylic acids and diols [1,2]. According to the ASTM standard, the reference test for the determination of hydroxyl numbers in polyols is based on the esterification of the hydroxyl groups using a phthalic or acetic anhydride solution in pyridine with a final titration of the excess acid reagent by a previously standardized sodium hydroxide solution [3]. However, this procedure requires a substantial period of time and promotes the exposure of the operator to some chemicals of documented toxicity, in particular the pyridine solution [2].

Furthermore, some polyesters when evaluated by the standard method show easily broken bonds that can be

hydrolyzed. This unexpected reaction can result in significant interference with the final result of the hydroxyl number quantification. This disadvantage was verified by our research group when the hydroxyl number of poly(lactic acid) was performed in pyridine/acetic anhydride medium. For this polymer, a depolymerization process occurred and lactic acid was released into the reaction medium, causing an error in the determined hydroxyl value.

Alternative procedures for the hydroxyl number determination have been reported as mass spectroscopy, nuclear magnetic resonance, infrared spectroscopy and chromatography methods [4–6]. Hydroxyl number prediction in polyesters was investigated by infrared spectroscopy in association with mathematical tools, e.g. multivariate calibration approaches or artificial neural networks [7]. In spite of the reported advantages, these methods can show some limitations in respect of sensibility, versatility [6], availability of equipment and high cost.

The goal of this paper is to propose an alternative, low cost and easy handling method to determinate hydroxyl number in aliphatic polyesters and polyethers by

* Corresponding author. Fax: +55 42 32229966.

E-mail address: jopadilha@terra.com.br (J. Padilha de Paula).

a colorimetric-based procedure. Also, the analytical validation was performed according to the literature [8].

2. Experimental

2.1. Materials

CAPA[®] 2043 [bifunctional caprolactone polyol, molecular weight (M_w) 400 g mol⁻¹, typical hydroxyl value 280 mg KOH g⁻¹] and CAPA[®] 2085 (bifunctional caprolactone polyol, M_w 830 g mol⁻¹, typical hydroxyl value 135 mg KOH g⁻¹) were kindly provided by Solvay (Warrington, United Kingdom).

PEG 400 (bifunctional polyethylene glycol, M_w 400 g mol⁻¹, typical hydroxyl value 150 mg KOH g⁻¹) was purchased from Delaware (Porto Alegre, Brazil). PET 1 and PET 2 were synthesized by the transesterification of poly(ethylene terephthalate) (PET), respectively, as pellets (Rhopet[®] S80, Rhodia, São Paulo Brazil) (1 mol from PET-mer unit) and as post-consumer recycled PET (colourless soft drink bottles) (1 mol from PET-mer unit), with diethylene glycol (5 mol). These reactions were carried out at 210 °C for 5 h using zinc acetate (0.01 mol) as catalyst. Purification was performed by washing with Milli-Q[®] water to remove diethylene glycol excess. Products were dissolved in acetone, filtered and dried to obtain PET 1 and PET 2. PET 1 and PET 2 (polyol-PET copolymers) showed M_w 972 g mol⁻¹ and M_w 1074 g mol⁻¹, respectively. VORANOL[®] 2110 (bifunctional polypropylene glycol, M_w 1300 g mol⁻¹, typical hydroxyl value 55 mg KOH g⁻¹) was obtained from Dow Chemical (Midland, USA). Other chemicals were analytical grade. Purified Milli-Q[®] water (Millipore, Bedford, USA) was used throughout the study.

2.2. Procedures

2.2.1. Jones reagent

The hydroxyl end-groups in the chemical structure of the indicated polymers were initially submitted to a modified Jones reaction, a general assay for primary and secondary alcohols. Jones reagent [9] was obtained as a saturated solution of potassium dichromate in sulfuric acid (25 g K₂Cr₂O₇/25 mL H₂SO₄ and 75 mL Milli-Q[®] water).

2.2.2. Standard solution

The standard polymeric solution was obtained from CAPA[®] 2085 with a hydroxyl value of 136.6 mg KOH g⁻¹, previously determined by the ASTM standard [3]. A mass of 1.2 g of CAPA[®] 2085 was accurately weighed and transferred to a volumetric flask of 100.0 mL. The volume was made up with acetone to achieve a concentration of 1.6392 mg KOH mL⁻¹.

2.2.3. Preparation of the standard solution and modified Jones technique

From the standard solution, 10 different dilutions corresponding to hydroxyl values ranging from 0.16392 mg KOH mL⁻¹ to 1.6392 mg KOH mL⁻¹ were exactly obtained in acetone to perform a volume of 5 mL. In each glass vial, 300 μL of the Jones reagent was added and the reaction medium was kept into an ultrasonic bath (Elma, Transonic

Digital, Singen, Germany) for 1 min at 25 °C. Then, 2 mL HCl 3 mol L⁻¹ was poured into the vial which remained in the ultrasonic bath for 30 min.

2.2.4. Colorimetric measurements

The samples prepared as mentioned above were analyzed at 600 nm in a Perkin–Elmer Coleman Junior[®] III Spectrophotometer (Perkin–Elmer Corp., Model 6J8, Waltham, USA). The absorbance measurements were obtained in a Coleman[®] glass tube (1 cm optical path length). The absorptions data were plotted in the Origin[®] software (MicroCal Software, version 7.0, Northampton, USA) to acquire an analytical curve.

2.2.5. Analytical validation

Analytical validation was performed according to the international guidelines [8]. The linearity was established from three different standard solutions of CAPA[®] 2085 (1.6392 mg KOH mL⁻¹) that resulted in three analytical curves as previously described. Absorbance values were plotted and the correlation coefficient was determined for each curve. Also, an average analytical curve was carried out to calculate the detection and quantification limits using Eqs. (1) and (2), respectively.

$$DL = \frac{3.3\sigma}{S} \quad (1)$$

$$QL = \frac{10\sigma}{S} \quad (2)$$

where DL is the detection limit, QL is the quantification limit, σ is the standard deviation of the responses and S is the slope of the analytical curve.

The precision was estimated by the repeatability and the intermediate precision (reproducibility) parameters. Under the same conditions, e.g. day and analyst, nine different sample solutions divided into three groups containing 0.53274 mg KOH mL⁻¹, 0.90156 mg KOH mL⁻¹ and 1.27038 mg KOH mL⁻¹ were assayed by the colorimetric method at 600 nm. For the intermediate precision, nine sample solutions containing 0.90156 mg KOH mL⁻¹ were prepared and separated into three groups. On three non-consecutive days, each group was colorimetrically determined by different analysts. The repeatability and intermediate precision results were evaluated from the obtained relative standard deviations (RSD).

For accuracy, nine sample solutions divided into three groups with 0.53274 mg KOH mL⁻¹, 0.90156 mg KOH mL⁻¹ and 1.27038 mg KOH mL⁻¹ were prepared. A known weight of CAPA[®] 2085 equivalent to 0.08196 mg KOH mL⁻¹ was added to each solution, resulting in three groups with 0.6147 mg KOH mL⁻¹, 0.98352 mg KOH mL⁻¹ and 1.35234 mg KOH mL⁻¹. The absorbance values were obtained by colorimetric measurements at 600 nm and the percentage recovery was calculated.

2.2.6. Sample analyses

The investigated sample solutions were obtained from the polymers CAPA[®] 2043, PEG 400, PET 1, PET 2, and VORANOL[®] 2110. Considering the labeled and/or previously




Fig. 1. Jones reaction scheme.

ASTM determined [3] hydroxyl value of each material, an adequate mass was weighed and diluted in acetone to obtain a theoretical concentration of $0.90156 \text{ mg KOH mL}^{-1}$ in a 5 mL volumetric flask. Samples were submitted to the same reaction conditions and colorimetric method used for CAPA[®] 2085. Sample polymers were evaluated in triplicate for both the proposed procedure and the ASTM standard test [3] as comparative approaches. The mean and standard deviation were calculated for these methods. Comparison of the statistical difference between the methods was performed by using a paired Student's *t* at 95% confidence ($\alpha = 0.05$).

3. Results and discussion

In the colorimetric-based method, Jones reagent addition provided a dark blue–green colour in the standard and the sample polymers vials. This result was based on the redox process between the chromic acid (acidified dichromate, an oxidizing agent) and the hydroxyl end-groups (reducing agents) of these polymers. In a strong acid medium, primary alcohol groups are oxidized to carboxylic acids. Also, secondary alcohols are oxidized to ketones, but tertiary alcohols are not oxidized in Jones reaction conditions. Due to the formation of chromium (III) ions during the oxidation, the orange–red colour of the chromic acid

changes to a blue–green solution that can be measured by colorimetry in the visible region. A simple scheme of the reaction is shown in Fig. 1.

Moreover, an aqueous acid solution ($\text{HCl } 3 \text{ mol L}^{-1}$) was used to stop the redox process in the ketone medium and provide a stable colour. The analytical standard and samples showed unchanged colours. No difference was seen in the absorbance and wavelength data when the measurements were performed over a 6 h period.

3.1. Analytical validation

Regarding validation parameters, the linearity (Fig. 2) resulted in $y = 0.5526x - 0.0284$ as a regression equation for the determination of hydroxyl number in CAPA[®] 2085 polymer. The Pearson correlation coefficient (*r*) of 0.9988 was obtained as a mean value for the three plotted analytical curves. Currie and Svehla [10] proposed that $r > 0.99$ indicates a very strong correlation between analytical data as observed in the present investigation. Regarding the sensitivity data, the calculated values of $0.0278 \text{ mg KOH mL}^{-1}$ and $0.0925 \text{ mg KOH mL}^{-1}$ were verified for the detection and quantification limits, respectively.

The results of repeatability and intermediate precision (reproducibility) for the Perkin–Elmer Coleman Junior[®] III Spectrophotometer as the RSD from the triplicate determinations are shown in Table 1. For both parameters, the values obtained for the RSD were lower than 5.0%. Hence, adequate precision with a high degree of agreement [8,11] was obtained for the current analytical assay.

Accuracy data were $98.7 \pm 1.4\%$, $100.1 \pm 0.8\%$ and $101.7 \pm 1.8\%$, for the concentrations of $0.6147 \text{ mg KOH mL}^{-1}$, $0.98352 \text{ mg KOH mL}^{-1}$ and $1.35234 \text{ mg KOH mL}^{-1}$, respectively. The closeness of these results showed that the proposed method represents an appropriate tool for the




Fig. 2. Analytical curve for the quantitative determination of hydroxyl number in aliphatic polyesters and polyethers by the colorimetric-based procedure.

Table 1
Repeatability and intermediate precision obtained for the colorimetric-based procedure

Concentration (mg KOH mL ⁻¹)	RSD day 1 (%)	RSD day 2 (%)	RSD day 3 (%)	Mean (%)
0.53274	1.42			
0.90156	0.56	1.02	0.86	0.81
1.27038	3.51			

Relative standard deviations (RSD) = [standard deviation/mean] * 100. Colorimetric measurements performed at 660 nm in the Perkin–Elmer Coleman Junior[®] III Spectrophotometer.

Table 2

Hydroxyl values^a obtained from the colorimetric-based^b and ASTM procedures

Material	Hydroxyl number	
	Colorimetric-based method	ASTM method
CAPA [®] 2043	283.6 ± 1.4	283.1 ± 1.1
PEG 400	142.6 ± 3.6	143.1 ± 2.6
PET 1	113.5 ± 7.2	111.6 ± 5.6
PET 2	106.4 ± 5.7	104.3 ± 2.6
VORANOL [®] 2110	53.0 ± 1.8	48.7 ± 1.9

^a Results are showed in mg KOH g⁻¹ as mean and standard deviation.

^b Colorimetric measurements performed at 660 nm in the Perkin-Elmer Coleman Junior[®] III Spectrophotometer.

determination of hydroxyl number in aliphatic polyesters and polyethers.

3.2. Sample analyses

The investigated polymers CAPA[®] 2043, PEG 400, PET 1, PET 2 and VORANOL[®] 2110 showed hydroxyl values obtained by the colorimetric and ASTM standard methods as indicated in Table 2. Both methods showed standard deviation values for all the studied materials that correspond to small dispersion around the mean; whilst the statistical evaluation demonstrated that there was no significant difference between the methods ($p = 0.9593$). Furthermore, for the commercial materials (CAPA[®] 2043, PEG 400 and VORANOL[®] 2110) the hydroxyl numbers were very similar to the labeled typical values. Therefore, these data show that the colorimetric-based method can be a feasible and effective practical tool for determination of hydroxyl number in aliphatic polyesters and polyethers.

The studied method can be emphasized as an easy handling, fast, low cost and pyridine-free procedure. Also, the use of widely available equipment and chemicals and the possibility to avoid the depolymerization of some

polyesters can be reported as advantages for this method. In addition, this method requires a small quantity of material, which is very useful when laboratory scale production is investigated. However, some additional attention must be given to the disposal of the chemical waste (chromium residue) due to its environment toxicity and to avoid human exposure, in spite of the small volume of residue generated. Finally, the proposed procedure is a simple practical test that can be helpful for the analysis of both commercial and laboratory-produced hydroxyl-terminated polymer samples.

4. Conclusions

The reported colorimetric-based method was successfully validated as a suitable approach for determination of hydroxyl number in aliphatic polyesters and polyethers. Its advantages include simplicity, speed and low cost.

References

- [1] R.A. Heikka, K.T. Immonen, P.O. Minkinen, E.Y.O. Paateri, T.O. Salmi, *Anal. Chim. Acta* 349 (1997) 287.
- [2] M.S. Kemper, J.W. McCarthy, N.S. Nunn, S.R. Lowry, Thermo Nicolet Industrial Solutions Note ISN 0022, 2001.
- [3] ASTM D 4274 – 94, Standard Test Methods for Testing Polyurethane Raw Materials: Determination of Hydroxyl Numbers of Polyols, ASTM Committee on Standards, Philadelphia, 1994.
- [4] H.J.A. Philipsen, *J. Chromatogr. A* 1037 (2004) 329.
- [5] G.M.M. Sadeghi, J.M. Barikani, *Polym. Test.* 22 (2003) 165.
- [6] A. Postma, T.P. Davis, A.R. Donavanm, G. Li, G. Moad, R. Mulder, M.S. O'Shea, *Polymer* 47 (2006) 1899.
- [7] E. Marengo, M. Bobba, E. Robotti, M. Lenti, *Anal. Chim. Acta* 511 (2004) 313.
- [8] International Conference on Harmonization, Validation of Analytical Procedures: Text and Methodology, ICH Committee, London, 1996.
- [9] W. Jeffrey, *Colour Tests in Clarke's Analysis of Drugs and Poisons*, Pharmaceutical Press, London, 2004, p. 179.
- [10] L.A. Currie, G. Svehla, *Pure Appl. Chem.* 66 (1994) 595.
- [11] United States Pharmacopeial Convention, The United States Pharmacopeia 28, Convention Center, Rockville, 2005, (on CD-ROM version).